1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 8 9 TONDA FERRANDO and DEX 10 MARZANO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 11 Plaintiffs, 12 13 v. ZYNGA INC., a Delaware corporation, 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

Case No. 22-cv-214-RSL

CLASS COUNSEL'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SECOND DISTRIBUTION PLAN AND [PROPOSED] **ORDER**

Noting Date: August 16, 2023

UNOPPOSED MTN FOR SUPP. DISTRIBUTION PLAN CASE No. 22-CV-214-RSL

25

26

27

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SECOND DISTRIBUTION PLAN

On December 1, 2022, this Court granted final approval to the Class Action Settlement and directed the Parties to "proceed with the Settlement procedures specified under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including payment and prospective relief." Dkt. #63 at 2. The Settlement Administrator in this case has since effected the process laid out in the Settlement Agreement for determining Settlement Payments and has paid from the Settlement Fund all Approved Claims. Dkt. #24-1 § 2.1(b)-(e). The Settlement Administrator also conducted outreach to claimants whose electronic deposits were unable to be processed and attempted to correct the problem, pursuant to Section 2.1(g) of the Settlement Agreement.

Despite diligent efforts, some payments were unsuccessful, and some checks remain uncashed. As a result, there is approximately \$204,000 remaining in the Settlement Fund as of the date of this filing (the "Reserve Fund"), representing approximately 1.7% of the Settlement Fund. Class Counsel therefore submits for the Court's approval the following, unopposed Second Distribution Plan:

- 1. The Settlement Administrator will promptly make a second distribution to claimants from the Reserve Fund.
- 2. Specifically, the Settlement Administrator will allocate the Reserve Fund—less the administrative expenses of the second distribution—pro rata to all claimants who previously received Settlement Payments. However, to the extent a second distribution to a claimant would equal less than five dollars (\$5), that second distribution shall not be paid, and instead the amount shall be distributed pro rata to claimants whose second distribution is at least five dollars (\$5).
- 3. To the extent that any of these additional payments are not cashed/processed by claimants after ninety (90) calendar days, such funds shall be paid to the Legal Foundation of Washington—the *cy pres* recipient named in § 2.1(h) of the Settlement Agreement.

* * *

1	This proposed Second Distribution Plan is fair, equitable, and faithfully effectuates the		
2	Settlement Agreement in this case. See Dkt. #24-1 § 2.1(h). Consequently, Class Counsel		
3	requests—and no Party opposes—that the Court grant this motion and enter the attached		
4	Proposed Order.		
5	-		
6		Respectfully submitted,	
7		•	
8		CLASS COUNSEL,	
9	Dated: August 16, 2023	By: /s/ Todd Logan	
10	2		
		Rafey S. Balabanian*	
11		rbalabanian@edelson.com Todd Logan*	
12		tlogan@edelson.com	
13		Brandt Silver-Korn*	
		bsilverkorn@edelson.com	
14		EDELSON PC	
15		150 California Street, 18th Floor San Francisco, California 94111	
13		Tel: 415.212.9300	
16		Fax: 415.373.9495	
17		By: /s/ Alexander G. Tievsky	
18			
19		Jay Edelson* jedelson@edelson.com	
20		Alexander G. Tievsky, WSBA #57125	
		atievsky@edelson.com	
21		Amy B. Hausmann* abhausmann@edelson.com	
22		EDELSON PC	
		350 N LaSalle Street, 14th Floor	
23		Chicago, Illinois 60654	
24		Tel: 312.589.6370 / Fax: 312.589.6378	
25		By: /s/ Cecily C. Jordan	
26		Cecily C. Jordan, WSBA #50061	
27		cjordan@tousley.com	
	LINODROSED MAN EOD SLIDD DISTRIBUTION DI AN	2 EDELSON PC	

TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700 Seattle, Washington 98101 Tel: 206.682.560

*Admitted pro hac vice

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Class Counsel

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	Class Counsel's unopposed motion is GRANTED. The Second Distribution Plan is	
3	approved.	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	Dated this day of	_, 2023.
7		
8		
9		ROBERT S. LASNIK
10		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

[PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 22-CV-214-RSL